United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.) 	
	CARL A. MCLAUGHLIN) Case No. 5:14-MJ-1273-JG)	
	Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Fin	dings of Fact	
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted			
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal			
jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had b described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
	\Box any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (.).	
□ (4)		presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative	Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·	
	· · · · ·		

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
	Alternative Findings (B)		
L (1	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
Y (2			
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and			
convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.			
	For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonable assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.		
	The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment		
	The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian		
	The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation		
	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations		
	Other:		
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement			

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

The defendant may move to reopen his detention hearing if he is released from State custody prior to the conclusion of his federal case.

Date: October 28, 2015

Kobert T Mimbers II

Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title